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Abstract. The pattern of cross stream migration of neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow depends strongly on the properties of the suspending fluid. These migration effects have been studied by direct numerical simulation in planar flow. Shear thinning has a large effect when the inertia or elasticity is large, but only a small effect when they are small. At moderate Reynolds numbers, shear thinning causes particles to migrate away from the centerline, creating a particle-free zone in the core of the channel, which increases with the amount of shear thinning. In a viscoelastic fluid with shear thinning, particles migrate either toward the centerline or toward the walls, creating an annular particle-free zone at intermediate radii. The simulations also give rise to precise determination of slip velocity distributions in the various cases studied.

1. Introduction

Pressure driven flow is one of the most common types of channel flow in industry, especially the petroleum and coal industries. If the particles and suspending liquid have different densities, settling or suspending occurs simultaneously with shear-induced migration. The bulk flow also depends on the relative sizes of the buoyancy and shear forces. Morris & Brady [1] performed numerical studies of the influence of particle buoyancy in pressure driven flow of a suspension when inertia is neglected and compared with experimental results. They found that shear induced migration in Stokes flows competes with buoyancy effects, and concluded that the flow behavior depends strongly on
both the bulk particle volume fraction and the dimensionless gravitational parameter, but only weakly upon the dimensionless channel width.

Most of the investigations in the literature focus on the effects of shear thinning generalized Newtonian fluids or the effects of elasticity without shear thinning. Segré & Silberberg [2] reported that in Newtonian fluids, neutrally buoyant particles migrate into a thin annular region with maximum concentration being at a radial position of about 0.6 of a pipe radius from the centerline. Karnis & Mason [3] studied the migration of spheres in a pipe flow without inertia effects. They observed that neutrally buoyant spheres migrate toward the pipe wall in a purely pseudoplastic fluid but toward the center region of lower shear rate in a viscoelastic fluid with constant viscosity. In contrast, Gauthier, Goldsmith & Mason [4] declared that particles migrate toward the region of highest shear rate in very slow flows of pseudoplastic fluids. Subsequently, Jefri & Zahed [5] performed experimental work on the elastic and viscous effects on migration of neutrally buoyant solid spherical particles in plane Poiseuille flow. With low volume solid fraction and creeping flow conditions, they found that the particles are in uniform distribution in a Newtonian fluid but migrate toward the centerline in a non-shear thinning viscoelastic fluid. Recently, Tehrani [6] reported an experimental study on the migration of proppant particles in viscoelastic fluids used in hydraulic fracturing. He focused on elastic and shear thinning properties of the suspending fluid and concluded that the flow behavior depends strongly on both the elastic properties and shear rate gradient of the fluids.

Joseph [7] pointed out that the effect of shear thinning is to decrease the viscosity and increase the shear rate at places of constant shear stress. In a viscoelastic fluid, the increased shear rate amplifies the effect of normal stresses at places where the shear stress is constant. This was confirmed by Huang, Feng, Hu & Joseph [8] in numerical simulations of the motion of a circular cylinder in Couette and Poiseuille flows of an Oldroyd-B fluid. Binous & Phillips [9,10] recently performed dynamic simulations of particle motions in viscoelastic fluids. In their method, the suspending fluids were modeled
as suspensions of finite-extension-nonlinear-elastic (FENE) dumbbells. In this method, the effects of elasticity are revealed clearly and are consistent with most of the experimental and numerical results shown in literature. But the method is restricted to low Reynolds numbers and constant shear viscosities. It does not include the effects of inertia and shear thinning. Asmolov [11] studied the inertial lift on a particle in plane Poiseuille flows at large flow Reynolds number but small particle Reynolds number. Using a matched asymptotic expansions method to solve governing equations for a disturbance flow past a particle, he found three major influence factors for the particle migration: channel Reynolds number or the curvature of velocity profile, distance from the wall and slip velocity. There is not much literature concerned with the isolated and combined effects of inertia, shear thinning and elasticity.

In the present paper, we studied the effects of shear thinning on the migration of particles in both Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids in a two dimensional channel. To make the study more precise, we isolated and studied the effects of shear thinning with and without elasticity while keeping the channel width, particle density and size unchanged. We also studied the effect of shear thinning when the volume fraction of solids is increased by increasing the number of particles in the computational cell, keeping all the other parameters unchanged.

2. Governing equations and numerical methods

Experimental and mathematical analyses and numerical simulations are the three major methods for studying multiphase processes. Experiments give scientists first hand phenomena to study but are sometimes difficult to interpret because competing effects are present. Mathematical analysis can simplify a specific problem and abstract single effects; analysis is always desirable but more often than not is not possible. Here we employ direct numerical simulation which allows us to solve hard problems numerically and to isolate competing effects.

The motion of solid particles satisfies Newton's law:
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
M \frac{dU}{dt} = Mg + F[u] \\
\frac{dX}{dt} = U
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

where \( M \) is the generalized mass matrix of the particle, \( X \) and \( U \) are the generalized position and velocity vectors of the particle, \( F \) is the generalized force vector imposed on the particle by the fluid and \( Mg \) is gravity. The velocity field \( u(x,t) \) and pressure field \( p(x,t) \) in an incompressible fluid is governed by momentum equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\nabla \cdot u &= 0 \\
\rho_f \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u \right) &= \rho_f g + \nabla \cdot \sigma
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

where \( \rho_f \) is the density of the fluid, \( g \) is the body force, \( \sigma = -p1 + T \) and \( T \) is the extra stress tensor. For an Oldroyd-B fluid, the extra stress tensor is given by the constitutive equation:

\[
T + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} T = \eta (A + \lambda_2 A)
\]  

(3)

where \( A = (\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T) \) is the strain-rate tensor; \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are constant relaxation and retardation times; \( \eta \) is the viscosity of the fluid. The fluid reduces to a Newtonian fluid when \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \) and to an upper convected Maxwell model when \( \lambda_1 \neq 0 \) and \( \lambda_2 = 0 \). The no slip condition is exerted on the particle boundaries:

\[ u = U + \omega \times r \]  

(4)

When the fluid is shear thinning, \( \eta \) is a function of the flow shear rate and can be given by the Carreau-Bird viscosity equation:

\[
\frac{\eta - \eta_*}{\eta_0 - \eta_*} = \left[ 1 + \left( \dot{\gamma} \right)^n \right]^{\frac{n-1}{2}}
\]  

(5)

where \( \dot{\gamma} \) is the strain-rate defined in terms of the second invariant of the rate of strain tensor \( D = \frac{1}{2} [\nabla u + (\nabla u)^T] \). The power index is \( n \) and \( 0 < n \leq 1 \).

Direct simulation of motion of particles has been carried out by using a two-dimensional generalized Galerkin finite element method which incorporates both the fluid
and particle equations of motion into a single coupled variational equation. An arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE) moving mesh technique was used to compute the motion of the particles. The modified code with EVSS (Elastic-Viscous-Split-Stress) scheme for solving transient Navier-Stokes equations together with a moving finite element mesh can be used to simulate the unsteady motion of the viscoelastic fluid and the solid particles directly. In our implementation, the nodes on the particle surface are assumed to move with the particle. The nodes in the interior of the fluid are computed using Laplace’s equation, to guarantee a smoothly varying distribution. At each time step, the grid is updated according to the motion of the particles and checked for element degeneration. If unacceptable element distortion is detected, a new finite element grid is generated and the flow fields are projected from the old grid to the new grid. In each time step, the positions of the particles and grid nodes are updated explicitly, while the velocities of the fluid and the solid particles are determined implicitly. Our grid system uses unstructured mesh triangular elements. More details about the equations and numerical computations are given by Huang, Hu & Joseph [12].

3. General conditions for the simulations

With the numerical package we developed, Particle-Mover, solid-liquid flow problems can be simulated. Details may be found at the NSF Grand Challenge — KDI/NCC Project Web site:

http://www.aem.umn.edu/Solid-Liquid_Flows/

together with video animations of the cases discussed in this paper.

The computational domain is a 2-D periodic channel of width $W=10$ cm and length $L=21$ cm, as shown in figure 1. There are 56 solid particles initially distributed uniformly in the channel (figure 2) and the volume fraction of solids is $C_p = 0.21$. The fluid density is $\rho_f = 1.0 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$. The particles are neutrally buoyant circular cylinders ($\rho_s = 1.0 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$) with diameter $d=1$ cm and are driven by a pressure gradient $dp/dx$. In the periodic
computation, the particles move out of the domain from the left side and re-enter the domain from the right side. The dimensionless parameters can be defined as:

Reynolds number:  \( Re = \frac{\rho_f U_a}{\eta} \)

Deborah number:  \( De = \frac{\lambda U}{a} \)

elasticity number:  \( E = \frac{De}{Re} = \frac{\lambda \eta}{\rho_f a^2} \)

Mach number:  \( M = \sqrt{Re De} = U/\sqrt{\eta/\lambda \rho_f} \)

where \( U \) is the maximum velocity of the channel when there are no particles and \( a \) is the radius of the particles.

![FIGURE 1. Two dimensional periodic domain for computation. \( W/d=10, L/d=21 \).](image)

All the computations presented in this paper were carried out using dimensional parameters. A dimensionless description of these results can be constructed by introducing scales: \( d \) (which is unit in all of our cases) for length, \( \dot{\gamma}_w d \) (shear rate at the wall) for velocity, \( 1/\dot{\gamma}_w \) for time and \( \eta \dot{\gamma}_w \) for stress and pressure.
In a Newtonian fluid without shear thinning, the fluid viscosity is constant and the velocity profile of the fully developed flow without particles can be written as:

\[ u(y) = -\frac{1}{2\eta} \left( \frac{dp}{dx} \right) (W - y)y. \]  

(6)

For a generalized Newtonian fluid, on the other hand, the velocity profile can be implicitly expressed as:

\[ \eta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{dp}{dx} (y - \frac{W}{2}) \]  

(7)

where the fluid viscosity \( \eta \) is a function of the flow shear rate given by equation (5). For a simple shear flow \( \dot{\gamma} = \frac{du}{dy} \).

FIGURE 2. Initial positions of the 56 solid particles in a periodic channel. \( C_p = 0.21 \).

(a) particle-particle

(b) particle-wall

FIGURE 3. Detection of collision. The critical distance \( \Delta \) between two particles or from one particle to a wall satisfies equation (8).
As shown in figure 3, two particles or one particle and a channel wall are said to collide if the distance $\Delta$ between the centers of two particles or from the center of one particle to a channel wall is smaller than some critical value given by a relative value $\varepsilon$ such that

$$\begin{cases} 
\Delta \leq d(1 + \varepsilon) & \text{between two particles,} \\
\Delta \leq d(0.5 + \varepsilon) & \text{from one particle to a wall.} 
\end{cases}$$

A specific treatment is used if a collision occurs. To avoid collision between particles and particles (or boundary walls), some forces are added between colliding (or contacting) particles. The magnitude of the forces are just enough to prevent them from touching. Because real particles are not smooth, their surface asperities will keep the particles apart. In our scheme, the collision forces could be regarded as contact forces between particles but in fact the collision scheme is a numerical artifice which we employ to keep particles from overlapping. After calculating the collision forces, the positions of the particles are adjusted and new collisions between the particles (or the walls) may occur. We need to check those new collisions and prevent them with the same scheme iteratively.

The magnitude of the critical value $\varepsilon$ can influence the simulation results to a certain extent; too small a value will result in a very refined mesh near the collision particles and increase the matrix size. We fix $\varepsilon=5\%$ in all computations carried out for this paper so the smallest distance between two particles is $\Delta_{\text{critical}}/d=1.05$ and that from the center of one particle to a channel wall is $\Delta_{\text{critical}}/d=0.55$.

4. Results and discussion

As mentioned before, the purpose of this investigation was to study the effects of shear thinning on migration of neutrally buoyant particles in Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids. To isolate the effects of shear thinning, inertia, elasticity and volume fraction of solids, we present the results of our direct numerical simulations in the following four steps: (1) the effects of shear thinning and inertia on migration of particles in Newtonian and generalized Newtonian fluids at moderate Reynolds numbers; (2) the computations at very small Reynolds numbers to study the effect of shear thinning without inertial effect; (3) the
effects of shear thinning and elasticity on migration of particles in viscoelastic fluids at small Reynolds number; (4) the effect of volume fraction of solids. The numerical results were compared to a series of experimental studies.

FIGURE 4. Migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a Newtonian fluid without shear thinning \((n=1.0)\). \(Re=12.5\). (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time \(t=39.75\) s. This flow lubricates in that there are no particles at the center and the particles tend to accumulate a distance 0.6 from the center of the channel, close to the radius in a pipe where spherical particles were observed to accumulate by Segré-Segré and Silberberg [2].
4.1. Migration in Newtonian and generalized Newtonian fluids at moderate Reynolds number

First consider the migration of particles in Newtonian and generalized Newtonian fluids with a moderate Reynolds number. The viscosity of the fluid is fixed $\eta = 1.0 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ and the pressure gradient is $|\frac{dp}{dx}| = 2.0 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$.

Figure 4 shows the migration of particles in a Newtonian fluid without shear thinning. The maximum fluid velocity is $U_{max} = 25 \text{ cm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ at the centerline, which gives the Reynolds number $Re = 12.5$. The maximum particle velocity is $U_p = 15 \text{ cm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ near the centerline and the related maximum slip velocity of the particles $U_{slip} = 10 \text{ cm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$, as shown in table 1. The difference between the velocity profile in solid curve (without particles) and symbols (with particles) in figure 4(a) is the "slip velocity". The slip velocity defined in this way is frequently used as a model parameter, however, we do not mean here to assign a dynamic significance to this concept. The presence of particles increases the flow resistance at a given pressure gradient and reduces the volume flux of liquid, the extent of this reduction can be evaluated using the slip velocity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$Re$</th>
<th>$U_{max}$</th>
<th>$U_p$</th>
<th>$U_{slip}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>111.9</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>81.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 1. The maximum slip velocity of the neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of generalized Newtonian fluids. $U_{slip}$ increases rapidly when the effects of shear thinning become larger ($n$ smaller). All velocities are expressed in cm·s$^{-1}$.

As illustrated in figure 4(a), there is a small zone in the center of the channel ($4.550 \leq Y \leq 5.431$) where there are no particles. The size of this "particle-free zone" is $\Delta_{\text{zone}} = 0.881$ for $n = 1.0$. Also there are no particles near the channel walls ($Y \leq 1.035$ and $Y \geq 8.997$). The average gap between the center of the particles and the channel wall is $\Delta_{\text{gap}} = 1.019$. The particles are located between $1.035 \leq Y \leq 4.550$ and $5.431 \leq Y \leq 8.997$, as
shown in table 2. Lubrication forces move the particles away from the channel walls while the curvature of the velocity profile moves the particles away from the centerline of the channel where the shear rate is zero. This case has been computed for 400 time steps until time $t=39.75$ second. The particle positions at this time is shown in figure 4(b).

![Velocity Profile](image)

**FIGURE 5.** Migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a generalized Newtonian fluid ($n=0.7$). $Re=23.7$. (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time $t=42.46$ s. There is a small "particle-free zone" at the center of the channel.
Now consider a generalized Newtonian fluid. With the Carreau-Bird viscosity law, the effects of shear thinning can be imposed by decreasing the power index in equation (5). To isolate the effects of shear thinning, we keep all the other parameters the same as in the Newtonian fluid \((n=1.0)\). The parameters used in this case are: \(\eta_0 = 1.0 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\), \(\eta_\infty / \eta_0 = 0.1\), and \(\lambda_3 = 1.0 \text{ s}\).

![Diagram of fluid flow and particle migration](image)

**FIGURE 6.** Migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a generalized Newtonian fluid \((n=0.5)\). \(Re=42.3\). (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time \(t=31.78\) s. The particles migrate toward the wall.
The velocity profile with shear thinning is much different from that of \( n=1.0 \). From table 1 for \( n=0.7 \), the maximum fluid velocity at the centerline is \( U_{\text{max}} = 47.4 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \) and the Reynolds number is \( Re=23.7 \). The maximum particle velocity is \( U_p = 24.4 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \). Now the maximum slip velocity \( U_{\text{slip}} = 23 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \) is much larger than that in a Newtonian fluid without shear thinning \( (n=1.0) \). The stresses induced by shear thinning move the particles away from the centerline of the channel, as shown in figure 5(a) where the "particle-free zone" near the centerline \( (3.981 \leq Y \leq 6.006) \) becomes much larger \( (\Delta_{\text{zone}}=2.025) \). The few particles in the center would migrate away in a longer simulation. The particles migrate to the channel wall, the gap becomes much smaller \( (\Delta_{\text{gap}}=0.7468) \). From table 2, the particles finally located between \( 0.7986 \leq Y \leq 3.981 \) and \( 6.006 \leq Y \leq 9.305 \). The computations for this case has been carried out to \( t=42.46 \text{ second} \); the particle positions at this time is shown in figure 5(b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( Re )</th>
<th>( Y_{\text{min}} )</th>
<th>( Y_1 )</th>
<th>( Y_2 )</th>
<th>( Y_{\text{max}} )</th>
<th>( \Delta_{\text{zone}} )</th>
<th>( \Delta_{\text{gap}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>1.0350</td>
<td>4.550</td>
<td>5.431</td>
<td>8.997</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>1.0190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>0.7986</td>
<td>3.981</td>
<td>6.006</td>
<td>9.305</td>
<td>2.025</td>
<td>0.7468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>0.6428</td>
<td>3.796</td>
<td>5.918</td>
<td>9.264</td>
<td>2.122</td>
<td>0.6894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>0.6517</td>
<td>3.805</td>
<td>6.211</td>
<td>9.326</td>
<td>2.406</td>
<td>0.6629</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2. The particle-free zone \( \Delta_{\text{zone}} \) and the average gap \( \Delta_{\text{gap}} \) between the center of the particles and the channel walls in the migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of generalized Newtonian fluids. When the effects of shear thinning increase, the particle-free zone \( (Y_1, Y_2) \) becomes larger and the particles move closer to the channel walls. The \( Y \)'s and \( \Delta \)'s are in centimeters.

To increase the effect of shear thinning, we reduce the power index to \( n=0.5 \); the maximum fluid velocity is \( U_{\text{max}} = 84.5 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \) at the centerline and \( Re=42.3 \). The maximum particle velocity is \( U_p = 29.6 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \). Now the maximum slip velocity of the particles is as high as \( U_{\text{slip}} = 54 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \). As shown in figure 6(a), the strong stresses induced by shear thinning move the particles away from the centerline of the channel, the "particle-free zone" near the centerline \( (\Delta_{\text{zone}}=2.122) \) is a little larger than that for \( n=0.7 \). There is still one particle in this zone at the time shown in figure 6 and this particle would
migrate away from the zone. The particles also migrate much closer to the channel wall ($\Delta_{\text{gap}}=0.6894$) and locate between $0.6428 \leq Y \leq 3.796$ and $5.918 \leq Y \leq 9.264$. The particle positions at time $t=31.78$ second is shown in figure 6(b).

FIGURE 7. Migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a generalized Newtonian fluid ($n=0.4$). $Re=56$. (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time $t=25.66$ s.

We increase shear thinning by reducing the power index to $n=0.4$; this is the smallest index we can run with our Particle-Mover package. The Reynolds number increases rapidly to $Re=56$ with only modest increase of the maximum particle velocity to
\( U_p = 30.5 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \). From figure 7(a) and table 1, we can see that the maximum slip velocity of the particles for \( n=0.4 \) is \( U_{\text{slip}} = 81.4 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \), which is the largest slip velocity for all cases presented in this section. All the particles migrate away from the "particle-free zone" (3.805\( \leq Y \leq 6.211 \)) in the centerline and close to the channel wall. The size of the particle-free zone (\( \Delta_{\text{zone}}=2.406 \)) reaches its maximum while the gap between the particles and the channel walls (\( \Delta_{\text{gap}}=0.6629 \)) has the smallest value. The particles locate between 0.6517\( \leq Y \leq 3.805 \) and 6.211\( \leq Y \leq 9.326 \) at time \( t=27.41 \), as shown in figure 7(b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( Re )</th>
<th>( Y_{\text{min}} )</th>
<th>( Y_1 )</th>
<th>( Y_2 )</th>
<th>( Y_{\text{max}} )</th>
<th>( \Delta_{\text{zone}} )</th>
<th>( \Delta_{\text{gap}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12.500</td>
<td>1.0350</td>
<td>4.550</td>
<td>5.431</td>
<td>8.997</td>
<td>0.881</td>
<td>1.0190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>0.5942</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>9.450</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>0.5721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.5516</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>9.450</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>0.5508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.6134</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>9.420</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>0.5967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>42.300</td>
<td>0.6428</td>
<td>3.796</td>
<td>5.918</td>
<td>9.264</td>
<td>2.122</td>
<td>0.6894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.940</td>
<td>0.8231</td>
<td>4.443</td>
<td>5.526</td>
<td>9.266</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>0.7786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.5499</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>9.450</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>0.5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.5500</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>9.450</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>0.5500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 3. The particle-free zone \( \Delta_{\text{zone}} \) and the average gap \( \Delta_{\text{gap}} \) between the particles and the channel walls. In the migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a generalized Newtonian fluid. When the effects of shear thinning increase, the particle-free zone (\( Y_1, Y_2 \)) becomes larger and the particles move closer to the channel walls. All lengths are in centimeters.

We can conclude from figures 4-7 and table 2 that in a pressure driven flow of neutrally buoyant particles the effects of shear thinning and the curvature of the velocity profile induce strong shear stresses and large slip velocities, thereby causing the particles to migrate away from the centerline of the channel and move toward the channel walls.

The flow behavior is consistent with the experimental results by Segré & Silberberg [2], Tehrani [6] and the calculations by Asmolov [11]. Tehrani observed the migration of proppant particles in either uncrosslinked or lower crosslinked fluids at low flow rates and found that the particles migrate toward the pipe walls. In his experiment, the fluids have very low normal stresses at low flow rates, therefore, can be treated as Newtonian or
Asmolov [11] declaimed that the inertial lift on a spherical particle strongly depends on the curvature of the velocity profile, the distance from the wall and the slip velocity.

FIGURE 8. Migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a Newtonian fluid without shear thinning ($n=1.0$). $Re=2.5$. (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time $t=248.1$ s. There is no "particle-free zone" in the center.
4.2. Migration in Newtonian and generalized Newtonian fluids at smaller Reynolds number

To focus our attention on the effects of shear thinning and reduce the effects of inertia, we compute similar cases with smaller Reynolds numbers.

FIGURE 9. Migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a generalized Newtonian fluid ($n=0.5$). $Re=4.94$. (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time $t=91.87$ s. A small "particle-free zone" develops at the center.
FIGURE 10. Migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a Newtonian fluid without shear thinning \((n=1.0)\). \(Re=0.156\). (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time \(t=2728.8\ s\). There is no "particle-free zone" at the center. Segré-Silberberg effects have not yet taken hold because inertial forces are weak and the simulation time is not very long.

In figures 8 and 9, the pressure gradient is reduced to \(|dp/dx|=0.5\ \text{g}\cdot\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\) and all the other parameters are the same as in section 4.1. Without shear thinning \((n=1.0)\), the Reynolds number is \(Re=2.5\) and the slip velocity of the particles is \(U_{\text{slip}}=2.53\ \text{cm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}\). The particles migrate toward the centerline, there is no "particle-free zone" in the channel.
The particles also migrate toward the channel walls, the gap from the particles to the walls ($\Delta_{\text{gap}}=0.5721$) is very small, as shown in table 3. The effect of inertia is too small to migrate the particles away from the center and the channel walls.

![Diagram showing migration of neutrally buoyant particles](image)

**FIGURE 11.** Migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a generalized Newtonian fluid ($n=0.5$). $Re=0.157$. (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time $t=3892.0$ s.

When the shear thinning index $n=0.5$, the Reynolds number is $Re=4.94$ and the slip velocity is $U_{\text{slip}} = 4.19 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. A "particle-free zone" develops at the centerline
($\Delta z_{one}=1.083$, see figure 9), although it is smaller than the one with $n=0.5$ and $Re=42.3$ ($\Delta z_{one}=2.122$; there is also a small gap between the particles and the channel walls ($\Delta gap=0.7786$). The stresses induced by shear thinning are large enough to move the particles away from the centerline and from the channel walls.

![Image of velocity profile and particle positions](image)

FIGURE 12. Migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a Newtonian fluid without shear thinning ($n=1.0$) or inertia ($Re=0.0$). (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time $t=43.27$ s. The slip velocities at zero Reynolds number are rather large.
Now we reduce the pressure gradient to \( \frac{dp}{dx} = 0.1 \text{ g cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \) and change the fluid viscosity to \( \eta_0 = 2.0 \text{ g cm}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \) while all the other parameters are the same as in section 4.1. The results are shown in figures 10 and 11.

Without shear thinning \((n=1.0)\), the Reynolds number is \( Re=0.156 \) and the maximum slip velocity of the particles is \( U_{\text{slip}} = 0.255 \text{ cm s}^{-1} \). For \( n=0.5 \), the Reynolds number is \( Re=0.157 \) and the maximum slip velocity of the particles is \( U_{\text{slip}} = 0.269 \text{ cm s}^{-1} \). Figures 10 and 11 show that there is no "particle-free zone" in the center for both cases. The effect of inertia is not strong enough to move the particles away from the centerline, even with the help of the stresses induced by the shear thinning.

The flow pattern without shear thinning in figure 11 is only slightly different from that with shear thinning in figure 10. Shear thinning has very small effect on particle migration when the inertia or shear rate is small. To verify this, we turn off the effect of inertia completely by removing the term \( \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \) in equation (2) so that the Reynolds number is set to zero. All the other parameters are the same as in section 4.1. The results are shown in figures 12 and 13. The flow patterns shown in figures 10 and 12 for migrations in Newtonian fluids are quite similar to the experimental phenomenon observed by Jefri & Zahed [5] for suspensions in a pure corn syrup, which is a Newtonian fluid. In their study on migration of particles in plane-Poiseuille flow under creeping flow conditions, the neutrally buoyant particles are uniformly distributed in both the transverse and axial directions. Their particle Reynolds number is in the order of \( 10^{-3} \) and their volume fraction of solids is very low \((C_p = 0.02)\).

The difference in the flow patterns shown in figures 12 and 13 are relatively minor, whereas the difference in the flow patterns shown in figures 4 and 6 are considerable. In the former cases, shear thinning apparently has no effect on the particle's migration when inertia is turned off, although the difference between the slip velocities is still quite large. For flows with small inertia there is no "particle-free zone" at the centerline even if the fluid is shear thinning. Table 3 shows that there is no gap between the particles and the channel.
($\Delta_{\text{gap}}=0.55$) for $n=0.5$ while there is a very small gap ($\Delta_{\text{gap}}=0.5967$) for $n=1.0$; this small gap could be transient.

FIGURE 13. Migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a generalized Newtonian fluid ($n=0.5$) with no inertia ($Re=0.0$). (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time $t=25.42$ s.

We can conclude from figures 8-13 and table 3 that the dependence of the flow behavior on shear thinning is weak but does not vanish completely when the inertia effects are small. The weak effect of shear thinning comes from viscous stresses and is smaller when the flow is slow; in slow flow, layers of fluid without particles do not appear.
4.3. Migration in an Oldroyd-B fluid

Now consider the migration of particles in an Oldroyd-B fluid. We focus our attention on the combined effects of viscoelastic normal stresses and shear thinning after reducing the effect of inertia. First we look at the effects of viscoelasticity without shear thinning.

We set parameters so that the elasticity number is above its critical value and the viscoelastic Mach number $M < 1$; Huang, Hu and Joseph [12] have shown that in this condition the effect of elasticity is much stronger than the effect of inertia. The aforementioned condition is represented by the following particular choices of parameters: the constant viscosity of fluid $\eta = 2.0 \text{ g cm}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, the relaxation time $\lambda_1 = 2.0 \text{ s}$ and the ratio of relaxation and retardation time $\lambda_2 / \lambda_1 = 1/8$. The pressure gradient used here is the same as in figures 10 and 11: $|dp/dx| = 0.1 \text{ g cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-2}$. Then the elasticity number is fixed at $E = 16$ throughout this section.

Without shear thinning, the Reynolds number for the flow without particles is $Re = 0.156$, the Deborah number is $De = 2.50$ and the Mach number $M = 0.625$. From figure 14(a) we notice that the flow pattern is very different from that in the Newtonian or generalized Newtonian fluids discussed in sections 4.1 and 4.2. There does not exist a "particle-free zone" in the centerline. Actually the particles move toward the centerline of the channel by forces arising from viscoelastic normal stresses. The distribution of the particles at time $t = 1613.9 \text{ second}$ is shown in figure 14(b). Comparing figure 14 ($De = 2.50$) and figure 10 ($De = 0.0$), we find that more particles migrate to the centerline for $De = 2.50$ than for $De = 0.0$ when all other parameters are the same.

We next consider the combined effects of shear thinning and viscoelasticity. Shear thinning is inserted in the Oldroyd-B equation (3) using the Carreau-Bird expression (5) for viscosity, with the following parameters fixed: $\eta_0 = 2.0 \text{ g cm}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, $\eta_\infty / \eta_0 = 0.1$ and $\lambda_2 / \lambda_1 = 1.0$. All the other parameters are the same as those without shear thinning.
FIGURE 14. Migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of an Oldroyd-B fluid without shear thinning \((n=1.0)\). \(Re=0.156\), \(De=2.50\), \(E=16\) and \(M=0.625\). (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time \(t=1613.9\) s. Normal stresses associated with viscoelasticity tend to concentrate particles at the channel center.

The combination of shear thinning and viscoelasticity produces a new flow pattern; instead of creating a "particle-free zone" in the centerline as for a generalized Newtonian fluid, the elastic normal stresses move particles toward the centerline while the stresses induced by shear thinning move particles toward the channel walls, thereby creating a "core-annular flow pattern". There are two "annular particle-free zones" and a "core
particle-laden zone" in the center. Most of the particles are located in the core zone around the centerline, while others make long chains and move along the channel walls. We carry out systematic computations as shown in figures 15-17 where the power index are $n=0.7$, 0.5 and $n=0.4$, respectively.

FIGURE 15. Migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of an Oldroyd-B fluid with shear thinning ($n=0.7$). $Re=0.159$, $De=2.54$, $E=16$ and $M=0.635$. (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time $t=970.3$. There are two "annular particle-free zones" and a "core particle-laden zone".
FIGURE 16. Migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of an Oldroyd-B fluid with shear thinning ($n=0.5$). $Re=0.161$, $De=2.57$, $E=16$ and $M=0.6427$. (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time $t=1558.0$.

Table 4 shows that there is no annular particle-free zone but large core particle-laden zone ($\Delta_{core}=8.267$) when shear thinning is suppressed; the particles move everywhere but are more concentrated in the core (see figure 14) than in the Newtonian fluid shown in figure 10. The stresses induced by shear thinning when $n=0.7$ move the particles to the centerline, create two annular particle-free zones ($\Delta_{annular}=1.192$), and reduce the size of the particle-laden zone in the center ($\Delta_{core}=6.303$). When the shear thinning is stronger,
this phenomenon is enhanced. For \( n=0.5 \), the sizes of the annular zone and core zone are \( \Delta_{\text{annular}}=1.231 \) and \( \Delta_{\text{core}}=6.286 \); for \( n=0.4 \), the sizes of the annular zone and core zone are \( \Delta_{\text{annular}}=1.401 \) and \( \Delta_{\text{core}}=5.651 \).

The migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of an Oldroyd-B fluid with shear thinning (\( n=0.4 \)). \( Re=0.162, \ De=2.59, \ E=16 \) and \( M=0.6466 \). (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time \( t=1303.0 \). The slip velocity does not depend strongly on the power law index, but the flows are different since inertial effects are small in these cases (\( Re=0.16 \)); the cause of the differences in the migration of particles is to be found in the combined effects of shear
thinning and elasticity. The elasticity drives the particles toward the center while shear thinning moves the particles toward the channel walls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( Y_1 )</th>
<th>( Y_2 )</th>
<th>( Y_3 )</th>
<th>( Y_4 )</th>
<th>( \Delta_{\text{annular}} )</th>
<th>( \Delta_{\text{core}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>8.883</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>8.267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>1.912</td>
<td>8.215</td>
<td>9.330</td>
<td>1.192</td>
<td>6.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>1.784</td>
<td>8.070</td>
<td>9.362</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>6.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>2.242</td>
<td>7.893</td>
<td>9.212</td>
<td>1.401</td>
<td>5.651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 4. The average size of the annular particle-free zone and the size of the core zone of high particle concentration in the migration of 56 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a viscoelastic fluid with shear thinning. When the effects of shear thinning increase, the size of the annular particle-free zones \((Y_1, Y_2)\) and \((Y_3, Y_4)\) increase while the core particle-laden zone \((Y_2, Y_3)\) decreases. All the lengths are in centimeters.

In the experimental study by Tehrani [6], he observed the non-neutrally buoyant particles migrate toward the pipe axis in uncrosslinked and lower crosslinked fluids when the flow rate becomes high; while the particles migrate toward the pipe walls in the same fluids at low flow rate. The change of flow behavior is assumed to be the effects of nonzero normal stresses and larger shear rate gradients. The forces, arising from both the elastic normal stresses and the shear rate gradients, drive the particles toward the region of lower shear rate at the centerline of the channel. The effects of elasticity become dominant when the flow rate becomes high, so that the fluids can be treated as viscoelastic fluids with shear thinning. The volume fraction of solids is \( C_p = 0.12 \).

Tehrani’s experimental results are similar to our numerical computations in general but he did not mention if there are any particles near the pipe walls. This is possibly due to his experimental setup and image processing. Tehrani identified the inner wall of the pipe from images where a brighter background lighting was used. The presence of the particles were recognized by scanning the intensity profiles from left to right. If there were peaks with intensities less than 60%-70% of the average value, then these values were discounted. In that way, the low concentration of particles near the walls may be either out of the inner
walls or discounted, so he observed only the "core particle-laden zone" but no "annular particle-free zone".

In high crosslinked fluids, Tehrani found that the particles migrate toward the pipe center even if the flow rates are low. When the fluids are higher crosslinked, particles migrate at the beginning and soon form a roughly uniform distribution near the central region. Tehrani believed that shearing at this central plug becomes very small because of the presence of the high concentration of the solids, resulting in a high viscosity. Without high shear rate gradients, the particles no longer migrate, even with the high normal stresses. The flow conditions in Tehrani's experiments were chosen to be similar to hydraulic fracturing operations, having the particle Reynolds number of order $10^{-4}$–1 and Deborah number in the order of 50.

On the other hand, Jefri & Zahed [5] found that neutrally buoyant particles tend to migrate toward the centerline, creating a narrow core in a non-shear thinning elastic medium, the same phenomenon as in our computation results. However, Jefri & Zahed [5] also found that the particles migrate to an annular region between the walls and the centerline of the channel in a shear thinning elastic fluid. They did not comment on this flow behavior. The flow rate in their experiments is very slow so that the effect of inertia is negligible ($Re \propto 10^{-4}$), their volume fraction of solids is very low ($C_p = 0.02$) but the effect of elasticity is extremely high ($De \propto 10^3$). The flow behavior observed by Jefri & Zahed [5] is different than that observed by Tehrani [6], but the source of this difference is not shown. Our simulations do not rise to the experiments because they do not converge for $De>5$.

4.4. Effect of volume fraction of solids

To study the effect of volume fraction of solids on flow behavior, we double the number of particles from 56 to 112 in the channel and consider Newtonian, generalized Newtonian and shear thinning Oldroyd-B fluids. The volume fraction of 112 particles in our flow domain is $C_p = 0.42$. Figures 18 and 19 show that "particle-free zones" at the
channel center in Newtonian and generalized Newtonian fluids are suppressed in concentrated dispersions. The channel center is basically shear free; the migration away from the center in more dilute cases is caused by the curvature of the velocity profile.

FIGURE 18. Migration of 112 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a Newtonian fluid (n=1.0). Re=12.5. (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time t=71.66 s.

The main effect of the increased loading of solids is to suppress the migration of particles away from the center where the effects of shear thinning are the weakest because the shear rates are small (cf. figures 4 and 18 for Re=12.5 and n=10, and figures 6 and 19
for $Re=42.3$ and $n=0.5$). This migration away from the center is generally believed to be an effect of the curvature of the velocity profile (see Asmolov [11]), an effect which may be diminished by the presence of many particles. With high volume fraction of solids, the effects of slip velocity have been greatly reduced. As can be seen in table 5, the slip velocities are even higher with high $C_p$ because the particles move much slower. Instead of migrating toward the channel walls, the particles now stay near the center region with almost no migration, as shown in figures 18 and 19. On the other hand, the effects of shear thinning at the walls are not so strongly changed by the increase in the volume fraction of solids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$C_p$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$Re$</th>
<th>$U_{max}$</th>
<th>$U_p$</th>
<th>$U_{slip}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 5. The maximum slip velocity of the neutrally buoyant particles. $U_{slip}$ increases when the volume fraction of solids $C_p$ becomes larger.

In an Oldroyd-B fluid ($Re=0.161$, $De=2.57$, $E=16$, $M=0.6427$ and $n=0.5$), as can be seen in figure 20, the flow pattern shows no significant difference from the more dilute case in figure 16 although the annular "particle-free zone" is smaller due to the high volume fraction. The effect of elasticity moves the particles toward the centerline, while shear thinning moves particles toward the channel walls, creating a small annular "particle-free zone". The high volume fraction decreases the shear rate, thus decreasing the effects of both shear thinning and elastic normal stresses. It is particularly noteworthy that the combined effect of shear thinning and elasticity leads to chains of particles on the wall for both high and low solids loadings.
FIGURE 19. Migration of 112 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of a generalized Newtonian fluid \((n=0.5)\). \(Re=42.3\). (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time \(t=42.67\) s.

Tehrani [6] also studied the effect of solids concentration. He found that the rate of migration toward pipe axis in high degree of crosslinking fluids decreases rapidly once the centerline concentration reaches around 0.3. As the concentration near the axis increases, the shear rate at the region near the centerline decreases, resulting in the increasing of the viscosity. When viscosity increases, the driving force for migration toward the centerline,
arising from the local normal stresses and the shear rate gradient, decreases; while the resisting force arising from viscosity increases.
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**FIGURE 20.** Migration of 112 neutrally buoyant particles in a pressure driven flow of an Oldroyd-B fluid with shear thinning \((n=0.5)\). \(Re=0.161, De=2.57\), \(E=16\), \(M=0.6427\). (a) Velocity profile of the fluid without particles and the velocities of the particles; (b) particle positions in the channel at time \(t=1594\) s.

### 5. Conclusions

The flow behavior of neutrally buoyant particles migrating in a pressure driven flow of Newtonian and viscoelastic fluids, depends strongly on the volume fraction of solids, the
blockage ratio of the channel, the effects of inertia and elasticity, and the effects of shear thinning.

Inertia always tends to move particles away from the channel walls and from the centerline of the channel, while the elasticity causes them to migrate toward the centerline.

In general, shear thinning moves the particles away from the centerline but toward the channel walls when the inertia or elasticity is strong enough.

In Newtonian or generalized Newtonian fluids at moderate Reynolds numbers, when the effects of shear thinning become stronger, the particle-free zone along the centerline of the channel becomes larger and the particles move closer to the channel walls, as long as the effect of inertia is strong enough. If the effect of inertia is too weak, the dependence of the flow behavior of the particles on the shear thinning is very weak.

The effects of shear thinning and the curvature of the velocity profile induce strong shear stresses and large slip velocities, thus causing the particles to migrate away from the centerline of the channel and move toward the channel walls.

In a viscoelastic fluid, the particles migrate toward the centerline of the channel even without shear thinning. With shear thinning, most of the particles migrate toward a core zone in the center of the channel, while others form long chains and move along the channel walls. There exist two "annular particle-free zones" and a "core particle-laden zone". The core zone becomes narrower as the effect of shear thinning is increased.

A high volume fraction of solids prevents the formation of the particle-free zone at the centerline of the channel in Newtonian and generalized Newtonian fluids since the shear rate becomes very small, although the slip velocity becomes larger. The volume fraction of solids has a weaker effect on the migration in a viscoelastic fluid, it decreases the size of the annular particle-free zone.

**Acknowledgment:** This work was partially supported by National Science Foundation Grand Challenge grant KDI (NSF/CTS-98-73236), by the US Army, Mathematics, by the DOE, Department of Basic Energy Sciences, by a grand from the Schlumberger foundation, and from Stimlab Inc. and by the Minnesota Supercomputer Institute.
References


Updated on August 11, 1999