

Energy Stability of Hydromagnetic Flow

by

Daniel D. Joseph

A lecture at the

Conference on Mathematical Topics in

Stability Theory

March 29-31, 1972 at

Washington State University

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics

The governing equations of motion for a viscous fluid with constant density ρ and finite conductivity σ flowing in a magnetic field are (see [3])

$$\frac{d\mathbf{U}}{dt} = \frac{1}{\rho\mu} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \left(p + \frac{1}{2\mu} |\mathbf{B}|^2 \right) + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{U}, \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{B}}{dt} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U} + \frac{1}{\sigma\mu} \nabla^2 \mathbf{B}, \quad (1b)$$

and

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{U} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0. \quad (1c)$$

where \mathbf{B} is the magnetic flux density, μ is the magnetic permeability and, as before, ν , \mathbf{U} and p are viscosity, velocity and pressure. From (1b) one finds that $\partial \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} / \partial t = \frac{1}{\sigma\mu} \nabla^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$. The condition $\text{div } \mathbf{B} = 0$ is automatically guaranteed for solutions of (1b) which have $\text{div } \mathbf{B} = 0$ at time 0 and on the boundary S at all times.

Here \mathcal{V} is a bounded domain enclosed by a rigid surface on which \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{B} are assigned. As in [2], to analyze the stability of the basic motion $(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{B}, p)$ we consider an altered motion $(\mathbf{U}^*, \mathbf{B}^*, p^*)$ which satisfies the same equations (1) and the same boundary conditions, but differs from the basic state initially. The differences

($\underline{U}^* - \underline{U} = \underline{u}$, $\underline{B}^* - \underline{B} = \underline{b}$ and $p^* - p = \delta p$) are called disturbances and they satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\underline{u}}{dt} + \underline{u} \cdot \nabla \underline{U} + \underline{u} \cdot \nabla \underline{u} &= \frac{1}{\rho \mu} (\underline{b} \cdot \nabla \underline{B} + \underline{b} \cdot \nabla \underline{b} + \underline{B} \cdot \nabla \underline{b}) \\ &- \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla [\delta p + \frac{1}{2\mu} (|\underline{B}^*|^2 - |\underline{B}^2|)] + \nu \nabla^2 \underline{u}, \end{aligned} \quad (2a)$$

$$\frac{d\underline{b}}{dt} + \underline{u} \cdot \nabla \underline{B} + \underline{u} \cdot \nabla \underline{b} = \underline{B} \cdot \nabla \underline{u} + \underline{b} \cdot \nabla \underline{u} + \underline{b} \cdot \nabla \underline{U} + \frac{1}{\sigma \mu} \nabla^2 \underline{b}, \quad (2b)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \underline{u} = \nabla \cdot \underline{b} = 0 \quad (2c)$$

and

$$\underline{u} = \underline{b} = 0 \Big|_S. \quad (2d)$$

Following CARMI & LALAS (1970) we next determine sufficient conditions under which the altered flow will tend asymptotically to the basic flow at $t \rightarrow \infty$. Toward this end we form energy identities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \langle |\underline{u}|^2 \rangle &= - \langle \underline{u} \cdot \underline{D} \cdot \underline{u} + \nu \nabla \underline{u} : \nabla \underline{u} \rangle + \frac{1}{\rho \mu} \langle \underline{B} \cdot \nabla \underline{b} \cdot \underline{u} \\ &+ \underline{b} \cdot \nabla \underline{b} \cdot \underline{u} + \underline{b} \cdot \nabla \underline{B} \cdot \underline{u} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3a)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \langle |\underline{b}|^2 \rangle &= \langle \underline{b} \cdot \underline{D} \cdot \underline{b} \rangle - \langle \frac{1}{\sigma \mu} \nabla \underline{b} : \nabla \underline{b} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \underline{B} \cdot \nabla \underline{u} \cdot \underline{b} + \underline{b} \cdot \nabla \underline{u} \cdot \underline{b} - \underline{u} \cdot \nabla \underline{B} \cdot \underline{b} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3b)$$

where the angle brackets designate volume-averaged integrals and \underline{D} is the strain-rate tensor for \underline{U} . In carrying out the integration, the integral $\langle \nabla \cdot \underline{A}_1 \rangle = 0$ which is added on the right of (3a) and the integral $\langle \nabla \cdot \underline{A}_2 \rangle = 0$ which added on the right of (3b) has been carried to the boundary by the divergence theorem. The vector fields

$$\underline{A}_1 = \nu \nabla \frac{1}{2} |\underline{u}|^2 - \underline{u} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\underline{u}|^2 + \frac{\delta p}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2\mu\rho} (|\underline{B}^*|^2 - |\underline{B}|^2) \right]$$

and

$$\underline{A}_2 = \frac{1}{\sigma\mu} \nabla \frac{1}{2} |\underline{b}|^2 - \underline{u} \frac{1}{2} |\underline{b}|^2$$

vanish on $\partial\mathcal{V}$.

The reader's attention is drawn to the fact that some of the cubic nonlinearities in the disturbance, which arise from the quadratic terms in \underline{u} and \underline{b} of (2), do not integrate to zero, that is, though

$$\underline{u} \cdot (\underline{u} \cdot \nabla) \underline{u} = \underline{b} \cdot (\underline{u} \cdot \nabla) \underline{b} = 0,$$

the terms

$$\underline{b} \cdot \nabla \underline{b} \cdot \underline{u} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{b} \cdot \nabla \underline{u} \cdot \underline{b}$$

are not necessarily zero and effect the energy balances (3a) and (3b).

There is a linear combination of (3a) and (3b) in which the cubic nonlinearities subtract out: thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \rho \mu |\underline{u}|^2 + |\underline{b}|^2 \rangle = & - \rho \mu \langle \underline{u} \cdot \underline{D} \cdot \underline{u} \rangle + \langle \underline{b} \cdot \underline{D} \cdot \underline{b} \rangle \\ & + 2 \langle \underline{b} \cdot \underline{\Omega}_B \cdot \underline{u} \rangle - \nu \mu \rho \langle \nabla \underline{u} : \nabla \underline{u} \rangle - \frac{1}{\sigma \mu} \langle \nabla \underline{b} : \nabla \underline{b} \rangle \quad , \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

where

$\underline{\Omega}_B$ = antisym metric part of ∇B

This is the energy identity considered by CARMÍ & LALAS.

There are four fundamental measures of the basic flow; the strain rate tensor \underline{D} , the vorticity tensor $\underline{\Omega}_B$ the symmetric part of the dyadic gradient of magnetic flow \underline{D}_B and the antisymmetric part $\underline{\Omega}_B$ of the same tensor; that is

$$\nabla U = \underline{D} + \underline{\Omega}_U \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla B = \underline{D}_B + \underline{\Omega}_B .$$

Of the four measures only \underline{D} and $\underline{\Omega}_B$ appear in the identity (4).

The fact that (4) is homogeneous of degree two in the disturbance opens the possibility of finding a global result which is independent of the scale of the motion. This possibility is realized in the theorem of unconditional stability of CARMÍ * LALAS (1970). *

* This theorem is also proved in the paper of BHATTACHARYYA and JAIN (1972). These authors also consider hydro-thermoconvective flows.

In preparation for the statement of this theorem the energy identity (4) is made dimensionless by dividing $[\underline{x}, t, \underline{u}, \underline{b}, \underline{B}, \underline{D}]$ by $[l, l^2/\nu, U_0, B_0 P_m^{1/2}/A, B_0 P_m^{1/2}/A, \hat{D}_m]$ where U_0 and B_0 are typical values of the velocity and magnetic field, $P_m = \mu\sigma\nu$ is the magnetic Prandtl number, $A = B_0/U_0(\rho\mu)^{1/2}$ is the Alfven number and the other symbols are as before. We will now work only with dimensionless variables which, for economy, are also designated as $\underline{u}, \underline{b}$, etc. In dimensionless variables we have

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dt} = RI_1 - \langle \nabla \underline{u} : \nabla \underline{u} + \nabla \underline{b} : \nabla \underline{b} \rangle,$$

where

$$I_1 = - \langle \underline{u} \cdot \underline{D} \cdot \underline{u} \rangle + P_m (\langle \underline{b} \cdot \underline{D} \cdot \underline{b} \rangle + 2 \langle \underline{b} \cdot \underline{\Omega}_b \cdot \underline{u} \rangle),$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \langle |\underline{u}|^2 + P_m |\underline{b}|^2 \rangle, \quad (5)$$

$$R = \frac{U_0 l}{\nu}, \quad R_m = R P_m.$$

Here the magnetic Reynolds number is ratio of the convection rate to the diffusion rate of the magnetic field. Large R_m implies a thin boundary layer in which dissipation occurs. Outside this region the magnetic field and the flow are "frozen" together. Small R_m , on the other hand, implies that the total magnetic field of the flow is essentially equal to the imposed one, so that the induced field is small.

The magnetic Prandtl number P_m is a measure of the ratio of the rate of diffusion of vorticity to the rate of diffusion of the magnetic field.

A direct consequence of (5) and the estimates given in [2] is the following theorem of unconditional stability of CARMÍ & LALAS.

Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}(t)$ be a bounded domain. Let \underline{u} and \underline{b} be the velocity and magnetic flux density vectors satisfying prescribed condition on $\partial\mathcal{V}$. Then $\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \langle |\underline{u}|^2 + P_m |\underline{b}|^2 \rangle$ satisfies the inequality

$$\mathcal{E}(t) < \mathcal{E}(0) \exp(-2M\mathcal{N}t), \quad (6)$$

where

$$M = \hat{\Lambda} - (\hat{R} + \hat{R}_m), \quad \hat{R} = \max[R, P_m R], \quad \hat{R}_m = b_m R_m,$$

$$b_m = \max \{ \text{curl } B \}_i, \quad N = \min(1, P_m^{-1}),$$

$$i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$\underline{x} \in \mathcal{V}$$

$$0 \leq t' \leq t$$

and $\hat{\Lambda}(\mathcal{V})$ is the decay constant defined by (5). If $M > 0$ for all t then $\mathcal{E}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and the flow is globally and monotonically stable.

This is a theorem of a type first given by SERRIN [6]. CARMÍ & LALAS, following SERRIN, also show that if

$$\frac{1}{R} > \max_H \langle |\nabla \underline{u}|^2 + |\nabla \underline{b}|^2 \rangle$$

where H is the space of solenoidal vectors vanishing on $\partial \mathcal{V}$ then $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ exponentially. This criterion also implies the uniqueness of steady flow.

There are a number of energy identities besides (3) which are of some value in treating the stability of hydromagnetic flows. For example, the three equations (2b) for the components of \underline{b} each give an energy equation. This contrasts with the equations (2a) for the components of \underline{u} which involve the pressure. Energy equations for the components of \underline{b} are like the separate equations (3a) and (3b) in that they involve cubic nonlinearities. Energy analysis here requires special procedures, like those used in [5] to handle the cubic nonlinearities.

One special identity, the correlation identity, merits special attention. This identity is formed from the sum $\langle \underline{b} \cdot (2a) \rangle + \langle \underline{u} \cdot (2a) \rangle$ and leads after integration by parts to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \underline{u} \cdot \underline{b} \rangle &= -2 \langle \underline{u} \cdot \underline{\Omega}_U \cdot \underline{b} \rangle - \langle \underline{u} \cdot \underline{D}_B \cdot \underline{u} \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{\rho\mu} \langle \underline{b} \cdot \underline{D}_B \cdot \underline{b} \rangle - \left(\nu + \frac{1}{\sigma\mu} \right) \langle \nabla \underline{b} : \nabla \underline{u} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The correlation identity is striking because it depends on the basic flow only through the measures \underline{D}_B and $\underline{\Omega}_U$ of the "strain rate" of the magnetic flux and the vorticity of the basic motion; these measures are completely absent from the energy identity (4).

A linear combination of the energy identity (4) and the correlation identity (2) could form the basis for a modified energy analysis of the type considered in [4] for the convection problem in a fluid heated and salted from below.

After making (7) dimensionless, we may form a linear combination in the form

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}_\lambda}{dt} = R I_\lambda - D_\lambda, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda = (\phi + \lambda) \mathcal{E} + 2 \langle \underline{u} \cdot \underline{b} \rangle,$$

$$\phi = \frac{1}{P_m} + 1, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

$$I_\lambda = (\phi + \lambda) I_1 + 2 I_2$$

$$I_2 = -2 \langle \underline{u} \cdot \underline{\Omega}_U \cdot \underline{b} \rangle - \langle \underline{u} \cdot \underline{D}_B \cdot \underline{u} \rangle + P_m \langle \underline{b} \cdot \underline{D}_B \cdot \underline{b} \rangle,$$

$$D_\lambda = \lambda \langle |\nabla \underline{u}|^2 + |\nabla \underline{b}|^2 \rangle + \phi \langle |\nabla (\underline{u} + \underline{b})|^2 \rangle.$$

We remark that for all fixed values of $\phi > 1$ and $\lambda > 0$ there exist values

$$\frac{1}{R_\lambda} = \max_H \frac{I_\lambda}{D_\lambda} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\Lambda_\lambda} = \max_H \frac{\mathcal{E}_\lambda}{D_\lambda}. \quad (10)$$

These numbers define a stability limit and a decay constant in the energy stability theorem which is to be proved below. It is first necessary to establish a preliminary

Lemma: $\mathcal{E}_\lambda \geq 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

To prove this, we note that

$$\frac{1}{2} (\phi + \lambda) (|\underline{u}|^2 + P_m |\underline{b}|^2) + 2\underline{u} \cdot \underline{b} \geq$$

$$\frac{1}{2} (\phi + \lambda) 2 P_m^{1/2} |\underline{u} \cdot \underline{b}| - 2|\underline{u} \cdot \underline{b}|$$

$$= P_m^{1/2} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{P_m^{1/2}}\right)^2 + \lambda \right\} |\underline{u} \cdot \underline{b}| \geq 0$$

With this preliminary aside we may now establish the following:

Energy theorem for hydromagnetic flow: Let

$$R < R_\lambda \tag{11}$$

for any $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$\mathcal{E}_\lambda(t) < \mathcal{E}_\lambda(0) \exp \left\{ -\Lambda_\lambda t \left[1 - \frac{R}{R_\lambda} \right] \right\}. \tag{12}$$

Proof: We may write (10) as

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}_\lambda}{dt} = -D_\lambda \left\{ -R \frac{1}{D_\lambda} + 1 \right\} \leq -D_\lambda \left\{ 1 - \frac{R}{R_\lambda} \right\}$$

where we have used (9) in forming the last inequality.

If $R < R_\lambda$, by (10) we have

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}_\lambda}{dt} < -\Lambda_\lambda \mathcal{E}_\lambda \left\{ 1 - \frac{R}{R_\lambda} \right\}$$

and (12) follows by integration.

Corollary: The largest R domain of stability is associated with the "energy" $\lambda > 0$ where λ is value of λ for which

$$\frac{1}{R_\lambda} = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \frac{1}{R_\lambda}$$

It is easy to prove that the initial condition which solves the maximum problem (9) is also the one which makes \mathcal{E}_λ increase initially at the smallest R.

Computations for the criterion $R < R_\lambda$ for particular flows have not yet been carried out.

References

- [1] Bhattacharyya, S.P. and P.C. Jain, Hydrodynamic stability in the presence of a magnetic field. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 44, 281-296 (1972)
- [2] Carmi, S. and L. Lalas, Universal stability of hydro-magnetic flows. J. Fluid Mech. 43, 711-719 (1970)
- [3] Chandrasekhar, S., Hydrodynamic and hydromagnetic stability. Oxford, 1961.
- [4] Joseph, D.D., Global stability of the conduction diffusion solution. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 36, 285-292 (1970)
- [5] Joseph D. D. and W. Hung, Contributions to the Nonlinear Theory of Stability of Viscous flow in pipes and between rotating cylinder. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 44, 1-22 (1971)
- [6] Serrin, J., On the stability of viscous fluid motions. Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 3, 1-13 (1959)