Lubricated Transport of Viscous Materials

Daniel D. Joseph

University of Minnesota

Lecture presented at IUTAM conference on lubricated transport of viscous materials.

Tobago, Jan. 7, 1997

1. Phase arrangements

   Flow types and flow charts

   - oil-water
   - gas-liquid
   - solid-liquid

2. Types of lubricated flows

3. Lubricated pipelining of heavy oil and bitumen froth

4. Steep waves

   - Instability of long waves
   - Levitation
   - Melt fracture

1 Phase arrangements

In two phase flows the dynamic response is tied to the way the phases are arranged. Many configurations are realized in practice; these are often described by flow charts for

- Liquid-liquid

- Gas-Liquid

- Liquid-Solid

In figure 1 you see a cartoon of flow types in oil-water flows. A flow chart for gas-liquid flows is shown in figure 2. There are definitely flow types in liquid-solid flows (figure 3), but as far as I know, flow charts have not yet been prepared for liquid-solid flows.

Figure 1: (JBCR 1997) Cartoons of a flow chart and flow types in horizontal flow when the oil is lighter. The flow is from right to left. All flows but (e) and (i) are lubricated. The holdup ratio $h = \overline{U}_0/\overline{U}_w$ where $\overline{U}_0$ is the average oil velocity and $\overline{U}_w$ is the average water velocity. $h = 1$ for finely dispersed flow like (i), $h = 2$ for perfect core flow, $h \approx 1.5$ for wavy flow.

Figure 2: (JBL 1996) Taitel and Dukler's [1976] flow map of horizontal air-water flow at 1 bar and 25°C. The pipe diameter is 25 mm. The solid lines stand for the theoretical transitions proposed by Taitel and Dukler. The dashed lines indicated the experimental diagram of Mandhane, Gregory and Aziz [1974]. $\mu_{eff,G} = \mu_{eff,L}$ on the dashed-dot line.

(A) (B) (C) (D)

Figure 3: (BB 1996) Two-dimensional shear flow of neutrally bouyant rigid spheres. Development of instabilities. (A) Concentrated Core; (B) waves; (C) separation; (D) discontinuous flow.

2   Types of lubricated flows

- Solids lubricated by liquids.
  - journal bearing
  - pneumatic tube
  - coal log (Henry Lui)
- Lubricate a very viscous liquid with a mobile liquid, say water
  - core flow
  - rollers (figure 4, JNB 1986)

(insert Figure 4 here, Rollers)

- Lubricate slurries with water (the particles can't be too heavy or the flow too slow). The water migrates to the wall and the solids to the core (figure 3). Lubricated heavy oil in water emulsions (Orimulsions, NBMRJ 1996).

- Lubricate turbulent gas (high eddy viscosity) with water. Annular gas-liquid flow in horizontal pipes (figure 5) and up-flow.


Figure 5: Horizontal annular gas-liquid flow gets stable when the eddy viscosity in the gas gets to be as large as the water ($\cdot - \cdot - \cdot$ line of JBL (1996) in figure 2).

- Lubricate turbulent gas in up-flow with a concentrated dispersion of solids raining down pipe walls (figure 6). The gas core is again stabilized by an increase in the eddy viscosity of gas beyond the effective viscosity of the compacted solids. In lubricated slurries particles go to the core, just the opposite.


Figure 6: (JBL, 1996) Annular solid-gas flow. It is strange to think concentrated solids on the wall have less viscosity than the turbulent gas and that the solids are a lubricant as is suggested by theory (ISBKM 1995, JBL 1996)

3 Lubricated pipelining of heavy oil

- Water will go to the pipe wall if the oil viscocity is larger than about 5 poise.

- Drag reductions of the order of the viscocity ratio are possible

$$\mu_0/\mu_w = 1000/\tfrac{1}{100} = 10^5$$

- History (see JR 1992, JBCR 1996)

  1906 Isaacs and Speed proposed to rifle the pipe and throw the water to the wall by centripetal acceleration

  1930's Various oil companies built short pilot lines, a few miles

  1960's Canadian studies at Alberta by Govier, Hodgson, Charles

  1970 - 1982 Shell ran a commercial $8''$ line from Bakersfield to the upgrading facility at ten section. Ran especially well when using produced water, but you got lubrication only for flow velocities

  $$U > 3 \text{ ft/sec}$$

  You need inertia to levitate the flow

  1996 Syncrude froth pilot: This is a 1 km long $24''$ pipe. You get self-lubrication rather than lubrication because the water is already there. The water is a colloidal dispersion of clay which promotes the self lubrication. Additional water is not added.

- **Fouling**

  This is a serious problem for some oils and pipe walls. Venezuelan oils have a high concnetration of asphaltenes which are apt to stick to carbon steel pipes. This problem has so far frustrated the development of commercial lubrication in Venezuela (figure 7). Research in anti-fouling has been carried out with cement-lined and polymeric-lined pipes.

Figure 7: (JBCR, 1996) Fouling of the San Tomé test loop with Zuata crude. input fraction = 4%, superficial oil velocity = 1.5 m/sec. Pressure losses increase monotonically as the pipeline fouls. High blockage was experienced after 2 1/2 days of operation.

- **Turbulence**

  Figure 8 gives friction factor vs. Reynolds number (λ vs. $\mathbb{R}$ defined in ABGJL 1993) for all published core flow data. The oil moves nearly as a rigid body; the water is usually turbulent and follows the Blasius correlation $\lambda = 0.316/\mathbb{R}^{0.25}$ for $\mathbb{R} < 10^6$. The scatter on the high side of turbulent water in the gap is due to fouling. You can derive this more or less from a K-E model for pure water, no fitting, assuming a smooth interface (HCJ 1994).

Figure 8: (ABGJL 1993) Friction factor vs. Reynolds number from different sources.

- **Creation and annihilation of fouling**

  The critical cause for concern is the build-up of fouling. In fact most oil will stick to the walls of a carbon steel pipe, but there is no buildup because eventually the oil is torn off the fouled pipe as fast as it is put on. We saw this kind of response in our studies of number 6 fuel oil. There was a layer of oil on the wall, but no build-up of fouling, the pressure drop did not increase.

  The same balance between creation and annihilation of fouling occurs for bitumen froth (figure 9).

Figure 9: Creation and annihilation of fouling of bitumen froth. The froth is weak because it is covered by clay from clay water and can't stick to itself. The water can coelesce to form a lubricating sheath between the fouled wall and the core. Bits of oil are torn away and new fouling appear to be in balance, since the pressure gradient doesn't increase. You pay a price for fouling approximately 20 times the cost of water alone. You can wash the froth from the pipe with clay water (b); pegging is not required.

4   Steep waves (JL 1996, J 1997)

When fluid flows through a gap defined by a wave crest and a solid wall, the pressure at the wave front is much larger than at the rear. This leads to wave steepening at the front side of the wave. This kind of wave steepening appears to be ubiquitous, not only in lubricated transport but also in material processing.

Instability of long waves

+   -   +

(a) long wave

high pressure   low pressure   U   fluid moves right to left

+   -   +

U

(b) steep wave

Figure 10: **The wave front (a) steepens (b) due to high pressure at the front.**

Re = 420

Re = 525

Re = 840

Figure 11: **Numerical calculation of BKJ (1996) for $[\eta, h] = [0.86, 1.4]$. The wave length shortens and the wave front steepens as the Reynolds number is increased.**

Figure 12: (JNB 1996) Slugging in gas-liquid flow. The high pressure at the front side steepens the wave and the low pressure at the back side smoothes it. If the amplitude of the wave is large enough it will touch the wall. Bernoulli effects can also suck the liquid to the wall when the gas velocity is large. Note that we have avoided drawing the ripples and small roll waves which are probably always superimposed on the gas-liquid interface. We focus on macro-structures.

Levitation of a slipper bearing

U

Pa   Pa

Slipper bearing

pressure

Figure 13: The pressure distribution levitates the bearing. If U is reversed, the slipper bearing will be sucked toward, rather than pushed away from the moving wall.

Levitation of core flow

CORE   ANNULUS   PIPE WALL

L

R_2

x

c

x = L   x = 0

Figure 14: (after OSVMO 1984) The core is at rest and the pipe wall moves to the left. The core shape is assumed to be a sequence of slipper-like bearings. This configuration should not levitate in the low Reynolds number of lubrication theory; you get anti-levitation instead, suggesting that inertia is needed for levitation.

Steep waves in annular flows

Figure 15: (FHJ 1995) (*top*) The interface resembles a slipper bearing with the gentle slope propagating into the water; the shape of these waves is unstable. (*middle*) The high pressure at the front of the wave crest steepens the interface and the low pressure at the back makes the interface less steep. (*bottom*) The pressure distribution in the trough drives one eddy in each trough. The waves in (a) are unstable and lead to (b). To get a lift from this kind of wave it appears that we need inertia, as in flying. Liu's [1982] formula for capsule lift-off in a pipelin in which the critical lift off velocity is proportional to the square root of gravity times the density difference is an inertial criterion. Industrial experience also suggests an inertial criterion, since CAF in the Shell line could be maintained only when the velocity was greater than 3 ft/s; at lower velocities the drag was much greater.

Figure 16: (J 1997). Core annular flow of #6 fuel. The saw-tooth waves on the oil core in a horizontal pipeline. The flow is from left to right.

EHT= 5.00 kV   WD= 19 mm   Mag= 324 X
30μm ⊢——⊣   Photo No.=176   Detector= SE1

Figure 17: (J 1997, Courtesy of R.P.G. Rutgers) Film blown of a 40mm Kiefel extruder with a 0.8 mm die gap width and wall shear rate $= 277\ \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Direction of melt flow is right to left. Steep fronts advance.

| EHT= 8.00 kV | WD= 17 mm | Mag= 281 X |
| 30μm ⊢—⊣ | Photo No.=27 | Detector= SE1 |

Figure 18: (Courtesy of R.P.G. Rutgers) Extruded tape from a 25 mm Betol single screw extruder with a 15:1 abrupt entry slit die of 1 mm gap width (x), and 8 mm length (z). Haul off ratio of 7.3:1, melt temperature of 178°C, wall shear rate = 163 s^{-1}. The extrudate surface shown is the y-z plane. Direction of flow from right to left. Scale as indicated on the photograph.

(Insert Rubber Abrasion page here, Figures 19 and 20.)

5 Elastohydrodynamic steep waves produced by lubrication forces in ultrathin liquid films

Figures 22, 23, 24 are photographs of the consequences of ultrathin film lubrication dynamics on the mica boundaries of a surface force apparatus by Israelichvili and co-workers (KRCI 1994). The boundaries of the thin film are smooth mica. The fluid is polybutadiene $M \approx 10^4, \mu = 180$ poise. Typical values are velocity 10^{-4}cm/sec, gap $h = 2 \times 10^{-6}$cm, $\mathbb{R} \approx 10^{-12}$. This is Stokes flow, but it gives rise to steep waves of mica due to high and low pressures associated with lubrication.

Figure 21: Pressure distribution according to lubrication theory

Figure 22: (KRCI 1994) Development in time of the elasto-hydrodynamic deformation of shearing surfaces, starting from the profile at rest (A at $t = 0$) and gradually changing to the steady-state profile (F) at a constant sliding velocity of 2μm/sec. In the optical microscope view (bottom) the circular patterns (Newton's rings) arise from constructive interference of monochromatic light. The dark central region is the area of minimum gap separation. The gradully changing film thickness

Figure 23: (KRCI 1994) (A-D) The effect of increasing velocity on surface deformation at constant load L. The deformation of the front edge and the separation of the two surfaces increases with increasing velocity. (D-F) At constant sliding velocity, an increased load decreases the film thickness in the gap and increases the area of contact.

Figure 24: (KRCI 1994) Surface deformation and formation of a cavity at high sliding velocity, $\eta > \eta_c$. The trailing edge (B) becomes very pointed due to the large tensile stresses developed under high shear rates. A cavity is formed as the surfaces rapidly snap forward (C) to a less deformed shape. Pictures D to F show the evolution and growth of a cavity with time.

6 Melt Fracture

These are cracks, fractures or waves on polymer extrudate, plastics. At low rates of extrusion there is no extrudate distortion; at a critical extrusion the polymer is said to slip. I think that a layer near the wall becomes soft, a lubrication layer (JL 1996, J 1997). You see the onset of lubrication as a break in the flow curve.

Figure 25: Cartoon of the flux curve given the pressure drop across a capillary versus the volume flux. The frictional resistance decreaces at the point of discontinuity, with the complete slipping when $\alpha = 0$.

Figure 26: (KD 1987) Extrusion of LLDPE: Transition region observed in stick-slip flow region $8V/D = 985, L/D = 100/1$. The authors do not remember which way the melt was extruded. We guess that the steep wave advances

7. Melt Fracture & Snakeskin

$\eta = 0.78$

$\eta = 0.82$

$\eta = 0.85$

Figure 27: Numerical calculation of BKJ (1996). Wavelength $\overline{L} = 13.5 - 14.1\eta$ for $(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{h}) = (600, 1.4)$. **The wavelength and amplitude tend together to zero as $\eta \to 1$.** (see JBCR 1997)

Figure 28: (PKT 1990) The short waves on (b), (c) and (d) are called "sharkskin". On them, and on the larger waves (c) through (b), the steep side advances.

Figure 28, continued.